

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#217)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 17, 2008:

John the Trap-ist:

"The Global Economy will Remain Somewhat Risky,
Says the CEO of the IMF, Mr. John Lipsky,
With Economic Ambitions Akin to Deuteronomy,
He Declares the IMF will Rule New Economy,
The Old System Cycles, All Manic Depressive,
To Be Tranquillized Smooth, Sounds Impressive,
Like Whores to Delilah, All Regimes Signed On,
Pledging Populations' Income to This Smiling John,
The Agenda it Seems is Going as Planned,
Full-Steam Ahead, Torpedoes be Damned,
Politicians and Bankers, In Bed, All Amour,
A Roll with These Suckers Leaves Us All Poor"

© Alan Watt Dec. 17, 2008

Wednesday 17th December 2008

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 17, 2008 (Exempting
Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on December the 17th 2008. I always suggest the newcomers look into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download as many of the previous talks I've given, that help to give you the true picture, that's as true as we can get a hold of it that is, in this very shady world of reality. People should be downloading as much of it as they can, because who knows how long we'll be allowed to keep going and the website could be pulled at any time at all and censorship can really come in, because we know there's a big roll on now towards total martial law for societal breakdown as the big boys keep telling us is coming. They certainly won't allow people whom they will claim to be the public agitators - that's those who are telling you what's really happening; they want you believe nothing but the mainstream propaganda and it is the mainstream who kept you in the Matrix your whole life long, so you'll never get any truth from them

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and download transcripts of these talks, if you prefer to read them, and you can print them up and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

On the last show, I talked about the Strategic Studies Institute, the big Think Tank that lives in the ivory tower, that literally looks into sci-fi futures of all possibilities, all scenarios of every possible thing that could go wrong, from the minute you get out of your bed in the morning and fall over your shoe, to your house collapsing or burning down etc., etc. Their job is always to look for crises and panic. In fact, that's what they live off of because they are very well paid to look into the future; however, they are fed their scenarios from incredible data banks that take the statistics on everything, world trends etc; but, above all that, they have their bosses and their bosses work with the *Grey Men*. Who are the Grey Men? The Grey Men are those who go between the Kissinger types (in fact Kissinger himself can be classified as a Grey Man) and the real bosses that run the world; and, I'm not talking about the ones that we think we elect. It's way above that.

The facts are dealt to these Think Tanks that the Think Tanks put into operation, through the various institutions and it gets handed down to the military and the military then practices and gets psychologically ready for the big task they're supposed to be facing in the near future. What they're talking about, really, is new global governance and the chaos that will ensue during the whole transition period. Now, the transition period, it's the same period of chaos and disruption where nothing is the way that it's been for a long time. That means that jobs etc will go down the tubes. Stores are already closing down, even shopping malls are closing down, throughout the US, and people are losing work everywhere. Manufacturing could come to a standstill and we've already seen the big bail outs of the banks and now they're bailing out the big auto companies and anyone who has a possible link to the military-industrial complex - and the big manufacturers of cars etc and trucks are part of that system - so, they might keep them going, it's hard to tell, or a scaled-back version of them.

They're also talking about bringing in the troops and all of that, to quell hotspots across the country, for upcoming riots. We live in an artificial system, you've got to understand that to begin with, it was created this way; and, as they said in ancient times, ancient Greece, the easiest system to destroy quickly is the highly-civilised one. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. We're in a situation now - as I say - when it's out in the open as to where all we're all going and, even yet, it's not registering with people. It would take quite a few episodes of the mainstream to get it through their heads, mainly by the familiar faces telling them so, to get it through their heads that it's all coming down; expect massive change; and, get ready to adapt and, get ready to obey, that's the primary thing, which the media will tell us all to do. They've been setting this up before 9/11 happened, this whole system, of control. I've mentioned quite a few times of how even little potato towns in Ontario Canada suddenly had these emergency response exercises, in the mid '90s, after the Cold War was over. They never had them during the Cold War; and yet, suddenly, when we're all at peace, supposedly, we have all these exercises where they're using combined forces: military, army, ambulances, fire brigades etc, to deal with mock disasters, man-made but mainly terrorist types of scenarios. And, I scratched my head and wondered what was going on and then a couple of years later, a little paragraph in a local newspaper stated that the police would be showing off their new uniforms, the black outfits, with their tucked-in pants and their combat boots and strolling the streets in pairs or even in fours, to get the public used to seeing this new uniform. And, sure enough, a few days later I saw four of them, like models, showing off their stuff, as they casually walked across the main street of a place in Allison Ontario, as I was passing through it and I thought not so much about what they were wearing - although they had black ties, black shirts, everything was black, which is the colour of the executioner, it puts fear into people, especially when you see them in the sort of military attire, yet police are obviously not police anymore, they are the military - and I knew something big was planned down the road. Then, as I say, the mock disasters were already going on everywhere across Canada; no explanation for them, cops in black gear; but, what got me too, was that the people in the streets never even glanced at the policemen. They didn't even stop to stare or wonder or anything and I realised they were all in a fugue, a fugueish state of unreality, because, you see it hadn't been really broadcast mainstream, even though this little paragraph in a free fly sheet (as they call them, in their local newspaper), it hadn't been said on television. It's right out of Brzezinski's book Between Two Ages, when he said the public shortly will be unable to think for themselves or reason for themselves, they will expect the media to do it for them; and, that has successfully been accomplished. That's why most people can look up at the sky with all the trails and look down again and then ask you what you're pointing at. It's because it's not been on the mainstream. Believe you me, if it had been on the mainstream media, a minimum of eight times they found out, they'd all be parroting and questioning the same kind of topic amongst themselves. That's how sad it is; most people are not truly conscious, they are programmed, it's scientifically designed and they'll never really figure it out.

I can remember when I was a child, going into the adult libraries and I knew the woman who ran it, she allowed me in, even though I was just a minor, and I'd rifle through all the books I could get my hands on, to find out what was happening in this system. What was this system I was born into? And it truly was a controlled system, especially in Britain, a great place to learn, if you knew, or at least suspected that something was very-very wrong. And, I did wonder why the vast amount of people were working class in Britain. I wondered why the vast amount of people all lived in council housing, which was paid for by their tax money, (their grandparents really started it off); and, as one generation died off, the new

couples would wait on a waiting list, to apply to get in to these council houses. They owned nothing; and, really, that was the idealised State that Britain was building up. They didn't want people to own private property, except the already-existing really upper middle classes. It's different in the class system than that portrayed in America: in America, people think it's according to your income, in Britain it's not, it's to do with owning your own property, wearing a suit and tie and then your income, that's the way it works there. I wondered why Britain - that had ruled the waves, supposedly, for an awful long time, and the sun never set on the British Empire - I wondered why the vast majority of the public were dirt poor. You could read a newspaper from one end of the country to the other, from north to south as well, and you would find the same starting wages for the same kinds of jobs; and the same final wages for the same kind of jobs and trades and so on. All fixed that way and that told me there was a tremendous organisation at work that was never mentioned to the public, to keep it that way. Sure enough, everyone at that time had just enough money earned, per week, or every two weeks, to pay their rent and their basic necessities. There was no money left over for any kinds of things we think of as luxuries today. You did not have credit cards; you couldn't get a credit card, unless you had collateral, something to back it up with. You couldn't get a bank loan, unless you had property. Then, in the '70s, late '70s / early '80s, they started to come out with the credit cards and gave one generation the feeling that they were living on top of the world, all through debt. That was to keep them happy and amused, while big changes were really going underway. When you're happy you see and having a good time, you don't want to look at all the things that are happening around you. It's like putting sheep into a really nice field after they've deleted the really good grass in another: they see this lush grass and they want to eat away, they don't see the wolves on the outskirts, they're too busy chomping away. Very simple technique, but it works with people too. During the '80s and '90s we all were fed masses trivia, massive trivia and, sure enough, they hyped-up the worship and the status of stars and Hollywood etc, to the highest limits. Pure fantasies to the people you see, fantasies that represented living the 'good life', being out of the money hole, being at the top, where you don't worry about money or debt and credit cards and stuff like that. Fantasy, the same technique that they used in 1920s and '30s in Hollywood where they churned out movies, movie after movie was churned out with big balls and guys with long tails and tap dancing. That was the good life, the fantasy, pure fantasy, while the vast amount of people were suffering terribly.

I wondered why, as a child going into these libraries, if they had ever fixed the problem of the last Great Depression, because I had grandparents that talked about it; and, I looked at the causes of it and how they said, when signed the Versailles Treaty, in World War One, that it guaranteed the onset of World War Two, because Germany would either be taxed and bled, by paying all the different countries for their costs of World War One, Germany would be bled into the ground, or else it would have to one day fight its way out of it. We all know what happened there: with the help of the world banks, I call them world banks, although today they call them One World Bank, the big bankers of the West, they financed Germany under IG Farben and many of the big manufacturers were part of that umbrella group and they created the Nazi war machine. A great book to read is *The Crimes and Punishment of IG Farben* and you'll see the same big corporations that are running the world today setting up the Nazi Germany military regime - and funding it. You find out too that IG Farben sent off lots of lawyers from the US, teams of them over to the Nuremberg Trials, to make sure

their men got off the hook and didn't serve any time for what had been going on; massive cover-up, massive cover-up. There would have been no World War Two if it wasn't for this umbrella of IG Farben and all of the big-big industrialists that we still kowtow to today, the same industrialists that are getting all the bail outs with our tax money. And people think that Nazism was a German thing; interesting.

The whole idea of bringing the world under this big eugenics system - and it truly is a eugenics system - we have to get that through our heads. All those who haven't made it up to the very top ranks of society are *useless eaters* - they're antiquated - there is no purpose for them, in a post-industrial society. The technology is done by a few - and even that is primarily going off shore - but there's some left in the US and that's about it. However, for the old man and woman, their day is supposedly gone and for the next thirty years we're going through these riots and so on.

Getting back to this whole idea of World War One and the depression and the various other recessions they had since then. It was always the same story that we were told, that all the stock market did really was put money into this big gambling casino, in the hope they'd win. Of course, we know what a Ponzi scheme is, a Ponzi scheme, which everything is based upon, even the big banks, the ones that you bank at locally, the big chains of bankers, they're involved in Ponzi schemes and they get everyone into investments. The idea being that they hope you'll stay invested with them and that they give you your payoff from your little bits of interest from newcomers, always newcomers coming into the scheme. Now, if newcomers don't come in, they have to admit they don't have any cash in the kitty to the public; but, as long as no one asks and demands, they'll keep this going and keep newcomers coming in and pay off the ones who are already in it, with their interest rates. A Ponzi scheme - a big pyramid scheme, it has never been changed. Why not? I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we are Cutting Through The Matrix and mentioning there's been a big puzzle as to why they never alter the system where the big bankers could literally collapse it any time they wanted to, because the stock market has always been manipulated by people at the very very very top. In fact, all that kept it going, for the last 15 years in the US, was the US Treasury pouring money in when their own companies started to fall on the stocks. It was interesting to even hear, before all this happened, about four months prior to that, to the collapse, that the head of the US Treasury said we're not doing it the next time, guaranteeing that it would just fall down you see. Why now? Well, it's because it's the big business plan, this is the right time for it, this is how they schedule things and when they fix a date for something, they go with it, they don't change. To the general population, they'll take the answers that leak out eventually, confusing though they always are, and they won't think much more about it. You see, it's intentional, that's why they never ever fixed the system, a system where a few can manipulate the whole system so easily, if it's not plugged, it's going to be done again and again and again. That's why they never plugged it in the first place, a long-long time ago.

Remember: the world system they plan to bring in is a completely different system, eventually, in the long term, with hybrid type clones and specially-enhanced people, a very small population mind you, to serve the global elite, to the

maximum and they'll cause no problems to the global elite because they'll have no personal identity as such. In fact, they've already said it'll be impossible for an individual to think of themselves as a separate, distinct, human being. In the meantime, we have to go through the chaos parts, we have to go through the rapid deployment forces (that'll be sent all over the world) and get used to the news, as the years go on, as they tell us they're being deployed in this country or that country, to quell riots etc, because there's food shortages and that kind of thing. When you look at the history of the food industry and you've watched the gradual take-over of farms by the big Agri business corporations (there's only about five of them), you knew, if you understand history at all, understood history, you knew that this was a warfare manoeuvre, because you always go for the food supply. You also go for an entire water supply of the people, to get them into slavery and to keep them into slavery. A country that truly wanted to promote independence and longevity of that system of independence and independent people, would have stopped the take-over of farms, they would have helped the small farmer, instead of impede his own progress. However, the opposite was the plan you see: the plan was, as the United Nations said a long time ago, in one of their speeches, the Department of Agriculture, they said that farming was too important to be left to the farmer. The whole idea being that this big world we're going into is to be a world run by the professionals, the experts, the intellectuals, those who are more evolved than those at the bottom, who believe that they've got the right to dictate to the rest of us how we shall all live. Part of it was to set-up the International Monetary Fund that initially would be, and appear to be, an agency that would lend money out and, when hard times came, if the borrower couldn't pay it back, they'd step in and start running the affairs of that country until they'd leached out all the money back into their bank, into the kitty, with all of the interest. Britain doesn't realise it, but during Margaret Thatcher's reign, the IMF was behind her, running the UK; and, believe you me, they really leached it good time.

Here's an article from the International Monetary Fund today, to do with the present crisis, because, remember, the idea is to set up the World Bank as the only bank on the planet, eventually. Working through and with the United Nations and working through all the little banks (they'll be all subsidiaries of the big bank), because you, personally, will be dictated to through your bank account. What you can buy, if you can even buy at all, if you'll be allowed to; how much money you get etc., that's the plan for the very near future, as we go through the chaos. This is from the International Monetary Fund, on the 17th of December 2008:

Crisis Lessons For The IMF

Speech by John Lipsky, First Deputy Managing Director, International Monetary Fund, at the Council on Foreign Relations New York, December 17, 2008.

It says:

Good morning and thank you for coming out so early on one of the shortest - and hence, darkest - days of the year. Today's limited sunlight unfortunately provides an appropriate setting for discussing the current situation in financial markets and in the global economy. Attempts to improve financial markets and the economy undoubtedly would benefit from both more light and more heat.

They'll step up the heat alright. He says:

Hopefully, the coming months will eventually begin to supply both. Although

I will begin with a brief review of the near-term outlook, the principal theme of my remarks today is the current and prospective role of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). You will not be surprised that my primary thesis is that the IMF can contribute significantly -- and perhaps uniquely -- to dealing with both the current and likely future challenges.

We have to ask ourselves too: why is this guy not coming out to the public, the people of the US? Why is he coming to the CFR, this private organisation?

Back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we are Cutting Through The Matrix, discussing this big organisation, the International Monetary Fund, where Mr. John Lipsky, the head of it, gave a speech just today, to the Council on Foreign Relations, not to the American Public. I've ranted on enough about the Council on Foreign Relations and who they are and who really runs them; but remember, these people represent a supposedly private organisation, that dictates policy to governments. They create policy for governments, not from the people at the bottom, you or your friends, no, from these big private institutions at the top; and, this is part of the parallel government I was talking about, on previous shows, that really run the world. This is the same organisation that Bill Clinton, when he was in the Monica Lewinsky scandal, he went to explain himself to them, first of all, before it got anywhere near the public. It's obviously where their allegiance is and where the priorities happen to be with these characters, when they all attend this and the Council on Foreign Relations is all through your government too. All through it and all through your bureaucracies, it's all through the media and the media barons are all part of it. Those at the head of banking institutions are all part of it, this parallel government. This guy goes on to say, in his speech today:

In the broadest sense, the IMF's principal tasks are to help reduce the global economy's systemic instabilities and to promote effective counter-cyclical policy action. In other words, the Fund has been mandated by its members - today totaling 185 countries

International you see.

-- to play both a structural and a cyclical (or better put, a counter-cyclical) role. I also believe that this role fits neatly

It fits neatly.

and inevitably into the emerging consensus about governance of the post-crisis global economy

Now, this is the new system they're bringing in, world-wide. You see, **it fits neatly and inevitably into the emerging consensus about governance of the post-crisis global economy and of financial markets.** He says:

I will discuss the Fund's role and its governance implications in a bit more detail at the end of my remarks.

Then he goes through what is The Near-Term Outlook and he prattles on a bit, using the usual speech they use. You see: every supposed professional has his own

vocabulary, his own type, so they understand each other, but most of it whittles down to very simple things. What he's telling us here is, he says:

For now, global financial markets appear to have stepped back from the brink in response to aggressive policy support measures.

Meaning the tax payer has been fleeced again, to bail out the banks.

Nonetheless, the danger of renewed deterioration remains high in several markets, while funding and liquidity markets are still severely strained. These negative developments reflect deep shocks that have undermined confidence in financial market counterparties, persistent concerns over future losses and funding needs, and large losses in bank and other institutions' capital. Thus, financial market deleveraging is still underway, underpinning our base case expectation of a substantial and sustained slowdown in credit growth through the coming year.

Things are not going to get better, is what he's telling you, just like that, it's not going to get better. He goes on and on and on and I'll put the link up, at the end of the show on my website, so you can read it for yourself.

You've got to hang on, you have to really not go to sleep when you read this kind of stuff and catch the occasional phrase here and there, to see what he's really getting at. Because this is the next move, as it was planned of when they even set up this institution called International Monetary Fund. Eventually, it was to become the big stick for the World Bank. What is the World Bank? It's another agency / private organisation, at the United Nations, that's funded by even bigger private banks, the ones that you can't go and put deposits into, if you're a guy down in the streets, you won't get near those kind of banks.

See, we have never been run, the world's never been run by the people in any country; in fact, the whole agenda for the last couple of hundred years, is to ensure that the people would never run their countries. That's why they gave us fake democracy, that's why they've numbered us all, that's why we've watched the rise of governmental agencies that take care of everything, including the children. They have all the kind of data on the children that you can imagine; stuff that you couldn't possibly imagine. You know, it's interesting that, even in Holland, they've given the same kind of thing that they have in Britain which I read last week about the information they're gathering on all the children now, through a particular EU policy. In Holland, they even have on it - and I'm not kidding, I'll put the link about this up and it's about them wanting to know, and having it in writing, in their dossier on the children, if they have pubic hair and when they developed pubic hair and what shade it is, what colour is and is it curly. I'm not kidding. And, I'll tell you, part of the reason, it won't be that they're just all perverts at the top (which I'm sure they are) but it's also because they want to see if the high estrogen content in the food is working. That's the real reason behind it. I'll put that link up for you too.

It's astonishing what is truly happening today, you couldn't dream this stuff up in your worst nightmare. It's government agencies that are doing this, by law; see, law, I said years ago, could be anything. Anything is law; when the king decreed something at one time, it was the law. If he said that the village of Hampstead had to be wiped out, it would be wiped out and that was the law. There was no debate about it, or moral debates, or anything else. That's what government is you see.

Government can't help but to grab more and more and more power and every agency they set up will try to rise to the top, by enlarging itself and multiplying its workers until it seems very important and all-powerful and it has more and more laws, to control and look over. That's how it works and this kind of thing is happening world-wide.

Here's another article here about the coming censorship etc and it's from the theregister.co.uk, it says:

Biting The Hand That Feeds It.

Google cranks up the Consensus Engine

It's by Andrew Orlowski, first Posted in Music and Media, 12th December 2008, it says:

Google this week admitted that its staff will pick and choose what appears in its search results. It's a historic statement - and nobody has yet grasped its significance.

Not so very long ago, Google disclaimed responsibility for its search results by explaining that these were chosen by a computer algorithm. The disclaimer lives on at Google News, where we are assured that:

The selection and placement of stories on this page were determined automatically by a computer program.

A few years ago, Google's apparently unimpeachable objectivity got some people very excited, and technology utopians began to herald Google as the conduit for a new form of democracy. Google was only too pleased to encourage this view. It explained that its algorithm "relies on the uniquely democratic nature of the web by using its vast link structure as an indicator of an individual page's value." That Google was impartial was one of the articles of faith. For if Google was ever to be found to be applying subjective human judgment directly on the process, it would be akin to the voting machines being rigged.

For these soothsayers of the Hive Mind, the years ahead looked prosperous. As blog-aware marketing and media consultants, they saw a lucrative future in explaining the New Emergent World Order to the uninitiated. (That part has come true - Web 2.0 "gurus" now advise large media companies).

It wasn't surprising, then, that when five years ago I described how a small, self-selected number of people could rig Google's search results, the reaction from the people doing the rigging was violently antagonistic. Who lifted that rock? they cried. But what was once Googlewashing by a select few now has Google's active participation.

This week Marissa Meyer explained that editorial judgments will play a key role in Google searches. It was reported by Tech Crunch proprietor Michael Arrington - who Nick Carr called the "Madam of the Web 2.0 Brothel" - but its significance wasn't noted. The irony flew safely over his head at 30,000 feet. Arrington observed:

Mayer also talked about Google's use of user data created by actions on Wiki

search to improve search results on Google in general. For now that data is not being used to change overall search results, she said. But in the future it's likely Google will use the data to at least make obvious changes.

Make obvious changes.

An example is if thousands of people were to knock a search result off a search page, they'd be likely to make a change.

In other words, we're seeing them coming into basically censorship and taking people off, taking people off their search engines basically. Those who aren't well known today probably won't be found in the future, if you're just up and coming, that's the whole idea about it.

Now, I think we'll see if we can go to the phones and see who's coming here. We've got Amber from British Columbia here. Are you there Amber?

Amber: I'm here, hello.

Alan: How are you?

Amber: Good, it's just started snowing!

Alan: It's started, well I've had snow for a couple of months so I sympathise with you.

Amber: It's not so bad but the drivers hate it here though. The reason I'm calling tonight, is I want to get your opinion on something, I'd like your advice on something: I joined up with a local group pretty recently, a 9/11 group, in town; and, the goal of the group is not really to call for a new investigation, although there are some people in a minority that think that might be a good idea. Actually, I'll read the mission statement here:

Victoria 9/11 Truth group is a group of concerned individuals seeking to expose the lies surrounding the events of 9/11, as well as the issues concerning globalisation, of which it is an integral part.

So, I'm wondering what your opinion would be on actually becoming a legal society.

Alan: There are many groups today; here's the problem: there are many groups who are trying to look at what's happened and, usually, they begin with the lifestyle they've already had and they're trying really to keep it. I understand it, it's a natural reaction but we've got to realise too that the lifestyle we had has already been taken away from us. In fact, a lot of the work has been taken from us and if there's no work there's no pay and if there's no pay then you're into a new system altogether.

Amber: Yeah, plus some people's reaction to finding out the truth about that might be like a world court, world government.

Alan: Well, this is the key to anything, anything. I find most organisations end up going, unfortunately, to the United Nations and giving them more credence, which is exactly what they want.

Amber: That's right.

Alan: And they pretend that they'll look into it and I've seen it happen, on so many different levels, and so many people who started off in fact or maybe even were launched to become voices, end up joining the United Nations, or having a department for even. I think it was a person here, there was two in Canada, already have joined the United Nations and they came out, initially, as I say, speaking out about things that were occurring in the world. As long as these particular organisations don't start leading you off to the very wolves lair that they want you to go to, which is the UN, I think you're probably on the right track. People have never had a chance to ever sit down and decide what kind of society they themselves would like to live in and the problem here is, and here's the big problem: if you were to come to that stage, you would find a lot of the people in the same group would have so many different ideas of the world they would like, because they all suddenly become little dictators, they'd end up fighting among each other and that again is what the elite know. You have to start with the basic principles of what is a human being and what are the rights of a human being and you go from there, rather than think of collective groups as such. When you're into collective groups, you'll find so many other opposing groups popping out of the same group, in no time at all, you will be fighting each other. I understand this process.

Amber: There has been some divisions, a little bit of conflict in the group recently. That's one of the topics too: the United Nations and a world government and global warming.

Alan: And global warming! And you see they're all, any group you get into is immediately infiltrated by people and some of them are not just sent there. There's also those that come in, who are already brain-washed and conditioned, by the mainstream, and they'll bring all these topics in with them and that's where you have your chaos. Some of them are trained to go in and they also know how to bring the group to a consensus, they're trained in how to do that and a basic psychology and training and use the Delphi Technique as well; and, before you know it, they're actually a UN cheerleader group. That's what you have to be aware of and be very wary of the people who come in with a politically correct agenda of the global warming / climate change scenario etc and *'We've got to go along and change our way of living'*. It's all a bogus thing the whole global warming scenario to begin with and it's admitted to in the books put out by the guys who invented the idea and that was the Club of Rome.

Amber: Actually, I was going to ask you that as well. Somebody sent an email out recently about top scientists that disagree with that global warming is man made and somebody wrote it off as a propaganda piece because it was funded by tobacco and oil companies or something.

Alan: That's what they'll always say, but there's 500 members of the IPCC, International Panel on Climate Change, at the United Nations. 500 of its own members actually left them, because they now realise the whole thing is bogus and all the statistics that they've been bandying about are false and fake and that we're not going into global warming but going into global cooling and they're not financed by the oil companies.

Amber: Right, so people can just choose to believe whichever scientist they want.

Alan: Basically, that's it, but it was in their own report at the United Nations, that

500 members have all left.

Amber: So far, my activity with the group has been, everybody just does things on a volunteer basis. Like even the term 'member' is used very loosely, because it's not any sort of legal entity but, apparently, the Vancouver 9/11 Truth Society is an actual legal society, covered by EC society rules and somebody's proposed that we follow that model and just focus on 9/11 but my problem with that is just focusing on 9/11 without exposing the global agenda as well.

Alan: That's right, you cannot separate them, you can't separate the two. 9/11 was just to kick off the whole next part of the global agenda. Thanks for calling and be very careful of the groups you join or what happens to them. Back, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix and I was just warning Amber about the organisations, it's so easy to walk into them, it's so easy to even start them up with good will, and be so quickly infiltrated by people who are sent in. The government has lots of this going on, you can't start up a poetry group without someone coming in to see what you're up to and I'm not kidding. They must have it on their books what you're actually all about. The ones that are sent in to disrupt the groups are sent in, or take it over and, before you know it, you're working for the global institution and you're into global warming and 'we've got to change' and so on and it has a completely different direction from the one that it began with.

However, it's true that 9/11 can't be separated from the whole agenda, it was to kick off the ball for the move towards global governance through various types of chaos and so on and to give the reason to standardise a good part of the world that was Moslem that would not come under this new global system. They did not join, they were not members of the world bank and so on. They were not members of UNESCO, they refused to go along. Now, they're being standardised, through being conquered and ruled and they have put puppet regimes in there that do what they're told. So, you can't separate the two.

Now, we'll go to Maggie in Texas, are you there Maggie?

Maggie: Yes, hello Alan, you mentioned that book earlier, the Crime and Punishment of IG Farben and I had noticed that book was referenced on the web as a source of some information about how fluoride in the water was originally used either by the Germans, the Russians, or both, to sort of sedate the public and lower IQs. I cannot find any such reference in that book. Are you aware of their being one or not being one?

Alan: I can't remember that particular part of the book. I know it went into the chemical industries and it's the same chemical industries that still run the big show today; they're the same ones and it also went into some of the vaccine manufacturers, like Baxter Laboratories, they were part of it too, they're still running today, chomping out vaccines. Many of the others, IT&T, all these boys are all part of the umbrella group of IG Farben.

Maggie: I see, ok but that specific thing, you can't recall and I can't find it either, so it looks as though somebody, one person made an error and dozens of people

copied it.

Alan: It's very possible, it doesn't mean it's not in there, I just can't remember having read that part of it.

Maggie: Ok, well thank you very much.

Alan: Thanks for calling.

It's astonishing when you realise that GM and Ford had plants in Germany and they were churning out machines and mobile units for the German Army and they were bombed, towards the end of World War Two, inadvertently too because the Allies were told where not to bomb; and it was interesting too, even Dowding admitted that he changed the bombing patterns of the British bombers and Canadian bombers, to go over Germany and directly target the workers' homes, under the pretence apparently: if there's no workers, they can't run the factory. After World War Two, in the 1970s I believe it was, GM and Ford just bided their time and sued the American and British Governments, for bombing and damaging their factories and they won the award. It was paid for by the tax payers of those countries. Astonishing what the real world is really all about, isn't it? And the same guys are running it today. Change through conflict.

Well, that's the music coming in for the end of the show and from Hamish and myself, up here in a very cold Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your god, or your gods, go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Topics of show covered in following links:

["Crisis Lessons For The IMF" Speech by John Lipsky, First Deputy Managing Director, International Monetary Fund, At the Council on Foreign Relations, New York \(imf.org\) - Dec. 17, 2008.](#)

["Dutch Press Review 26 November 2008 - Pubic hair police" \[Scroll Down Page for Article\] by Frank Scimone - Nov. 26, 2008.](#)

["Google cranks up the Consensus Engine" by Andrew Orlowski \(theregister.co.uk\) - Dec. 12, 2008.](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions
and History MP3

Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'

CDs CDs: & Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"